

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,608	11/12/2004	Leif Nilsson	SZAC.P0101US	7839
58342 WARREN A. S	7590 06/06/200 SKLAR (SOER)	EXAI	EXAMINER	
RENNER, OT	TO, BOISSELLE & SK	KUMAR, SF	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
1621 EUCLID AVENUE 19TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/509,608	NILSSON ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a)□	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 March 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 				
Disposition of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The following office action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination filed on March 9, 2007. Claims 1-16 are pending. Claims 1, 3 and 5 have been amended. Claims 11-16 are newly added.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,621,483) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA), and further in view of Bower (US-PGPUB 2002/0072915).

Art Unit: 2629

With reference to claim 1, Wallace et al. teaches a method and apparatus (1) for navigating on an electronic device (see column 2, lines 46-65) wherein a member (1) for navigating is controlled by applying a finger (6) of a user to the member (1); characterized in that navigating by removing the finger from the member (1) and re-applying it to the movable physical member within a set time limit (see abstract; column 5, lines 6-33). Wallace fails to teach a hierarchically organized menu system in the electronic device. Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter AAPA) on page 1, lines 22-25 of the specification teach where it is well known in the art for hierarchically structured menu system is commonly known in electronic devices, such as computers, mobile telephones, PDAs (Personal Digital Assistant), etc, further, on page 1, lines 35-page 2, line 10, AAPA teaches where a joystick is employed in the method of navigating in a hierarchically organized menu system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the hierarchically organized menu system in the electronic device of Wallace et al as the electronic device of Wallace et al is a computer (col. 1, lines 47-48) and where it is common to employ the menu system in a computer based electronic devices (AAPA, page 1, lines 22-25).

Wallace as modified by AAPA fails to teach navigating in a backwards direction by removing the finger from the movable physical member and re-applying the finger to the movable physical member within a set time limit. Bower teaches on page 4, paragraph 0043, using an input device, whereby removing the finger from the movable physical member and reapplying the finger to the movable physical member within a set time limit (shown by the double click) navigates backwards (move back to the previous hyperlink or to other logical steps on the page). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2629

was made to include the feature of navigating backwards as taught by Bower into Wallace as modified by AAPA as the backwards navigation enable users to return to previous links (Bower, page 4, paragraph 0043).

With reference to **claim 3**, Wallace et al teaches all the limitations as set forth in claim 1, and further, Wallace et al. also teaches sensing means (2, 9) for sensing a finger (6) is applied to the user surface (5) of the member (1), wherein the sensing means is eclectically connected to a timer (40) arranged to start counting when the finger (6) is removed from the user surface of the member (1) and to stop when the finger (6) is re-applied to the user surface (5) of the member (1) (see column 4, line 60-column 5, line 46; column 8, line 37-column 9, line 8).

While Wallace as modified by AAPA and Bower teach wherein said electronic device is arranged to perform a step backwards in a hierarchy of commands in the hierarchically organized menu system following said sensing means detecting that finger is re-applied to the user surface, there fails to be a teaching of where the timer counting is below a set limit. Examiner takes Official Notice that the timer counting is below a set limit is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include where the timer counting is below a set limit into the input device system of Wallace as modified by AAPA and Bower as the double clicking feature taught by Bower requires a set time limit in order for implementation as is well known in the art.

With reference to claim 2, Wallace et al. teaches that the set time limit is below a few seconds (see column 8, line 63-column 9, line 8).

With reference to claim 4, Wallace et al. teaches that the sensing means comprises an IR diode (2) and an IR detector (9) arranged in such a manner that IR light is reflected from the IR

Art Unit: 2629

diode to the IR detector by the finger when the finger is applied to or is in the proximity of the user surface of the movable physical member (see column 5, lines 47-column 6, line 4).

With reference to claims 5-7, Wallace teaches that the IR diode (2) and the IR detector (9) are positioned at a base of the member, and that two light guides (4, 8) extend from the base of the member to the user surface of the member (see Figure 1).

With further reference to claims 6 and 7, Wallace fails to specifically teach the usage of the depression of a micro switch or the shorting of conductive areas to sense when a finger is applied to a user surface. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that the usage of optical detectors, switches, conductive surface (i.e. methods of detection in touch panel device) are well known in the art for usage as well as to be interchangeable with one another.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of a micro switch or conductive surface as opposed to an optical surface, as well known in the art, with a device similar to that which is taught by Wallace, thereby providing an alternative arrangement for the user to input information into the system.

With reference to claims 8 and 10, Wallace teaches that the electronic device, being a mobile communications device, is provided with a display adapted to graphically display at least a part of the menu system (see column 7, lines 45-49).

With reference to claim 9, Wallace teaches the member as a joystick type device (see Figure 1).

With reference to claims 11 and 12, see claim 3, above.

Art Unit: 2629

With reference to **claim 13**, further comprising Wallace teaches operating the physical member to activate a command at any chosen position (col. 2, lines 47-65) in the hierarchically organized menu system.

With reference to claim 14 and 15, Wallace fails to specifically teach wherein said two conductive area are exposed to engage a finger applied to the user surface and said being electrically short circuited comprising electrical connection of either a resistive or capacitive coupling, through a part of the finger. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that the usage of a conductive surface (i.e. methods of detection in touch panel device), and where two conductive areas are exposed to engage a finger applied to the user surface and said being electrically short circuited comprising electrical connection of either a resistive or capacitive coupling, through a part of the finger are well known in the art.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of where two conductive areas are exposed to engage a finger applied to the user surface and said being electrically short circuited comprising electrical connection of either a resistive or capacitive coupling, through a part of the finger, as well known in the art, with a device similar to that which is taught by Wallace, thereby providing an alternative arrangement for the user to input information into the system.

With reference to **claim 16**, AAPA teaches wherein the movable physical member is depressable (page 2, line 2 of the spec) and arranged to activate a command at any chosen position in the hierarchically organized menu system when depressed (page 2, lines 1-10 of the spec).

Art Unit: 2629

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-16 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sue Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Srilakshmi K Kumar Examiner

Art Unit 2629

SKK

Art Unit: 2629

May 25, 2007

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8